

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/777,410	BERKES ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Connolly	2115	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Mark Connolly.

(3) _____.

(2) Erin Pacella (applicant's representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 October 2006

Time: 3:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-14, 34-42 and 43-44

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a brief telephone interview with applicants representative, the examiner was given permission to correct "tangible computer-readable medium" in independent claims 1, 34 and 43-44 to correctly recite a "computer-readable storage medium" as is defined in paragraph [0028] on page 7 of the specification. Furthermore, permission was also given to amend dependent claims 2-6, 8, 10-14, 35-38 and 42 to also recite a "computer-readable storage medium."